



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to: “Orbit spaces and unions
of equivariant absolute neighborhood extensors”
[Topology Appl. 146–147 (2005) 289–315]

Sergey Antonyan¹

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
04510 México DF, Mexico*

Received 29 January 2005; received in revised form 22 February 2005; accepted 23 February 2005

MSC: 54C55; 54C20; 54H15

Keywords: G -ANR; Equivariant homotopy; H -orbit space

In our article [3], on p. 313, the proof of Theorem 6.1 contains a gap. Namely, in that proof we concluded that the orbit space X/H is a G/H -ANR, by applying the equivariant version of the Hanner domination theorem [2, Theorem 7]. The error occurs at the place where we verify only the weaker condition that the two equivariant maps in question are β -close, β being an open cover, while the assumption of the equivariant Hanner domination theorem requires these two maps to be equivariantly β -homotopic.

Using the notation adopted in [3], this gap may be filled as follows.

Let X be a topological space and γ an open cover of X . Two continuous maps $f, \varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ are called γ -close, if for every $y \in Y$ there exists $\Gamma \in \gamma$ such that $\{f(y), \varphi(y)\} \subset \Gamma$.

DOI of original article: 10.1016/j.topol.2003.05.004.

E-mail address: antonyan@servidor.unam.mx (S. Antonyan).

¹ The author was supported in part by grant IN105803-3 from PAPIIT (UNAM).

A homotopy $F_t : Y \rightarrow X, t \in [0, 1]$, is said to be limited by γ , or simply, a γ -homotopy provided for any $y \in Y$, there exists $\Gamma \in \gamma$ such that $F_t(y) \in \Gamma$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If, in addition, X and Y are G -spaces then a γ -homotopy (F_t) is called equivariant or a G -homotopy, if F_t is a G -map for every $t \in [0, 1]$. In such a case F_0 and F_1 are called equivariantly γ -homotopic G -maps.

We shall say that a G -space X is equivariantly γ -dominated by a G -space Y , if there exist G -maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that φf is equivariantly γ -homotopic to the identity map 1_X of X .

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a compact group, H a closed normal subgroup of G , and X a G -ANR (respectively, a G -AR). Then the H -orbit space X/H is a G/H -ANR (respectively, a G/H -AR). In particular, the G -orbit space X/G is an ANR (respectively, an AR).*

Proof (new). We are going to apply the following equivariant Hanner domination theorem, established in [2, Theorem 7]: if for any open cover β of a metrizable G -space M , there exists a G -ANE that equivariantly β -dominates M , then M is a G -ANR.

Now let β be an open cover of the metrizable G/H -space X/H , and let $\alpha = p^{-1}(\beta) = \{p^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \beta\}$, where $p : X \rightarrow X/H$ is the H -orbit projection.

Since X is a G -ANR, by [1, Proposition 3], there exists an open refinement γ of α such that any two γ -close G -maps $f_0, f_1 : Y \rightarrow X$ are equivariantly α -homotopic.

Then, by Theorem 4.6 of [3], there exist G -maps

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow X$$

such that the composition ψf is γ -close to the identity map of X , where $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ is the G -nerve of a G -normal cover \mathcal{U} of X . Consequently, ψf is equivariantly α -homotopic to the identity map of X . Let $F_t : X \rightarrow X, t \in [0, 1]$, be a G -homotopy limited by α such that $F_0 = \text{Id}_X$ and $F_1 = \psi f$.

Passing to the H -orbit spaces, we get continuous G/H -equivariant maps

$$\tilde{f} : X/H \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})/H \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})/H \rightarrow X/H,$$

and a G/H -homotopy $\tilde{F}_t : X/H \rightarrow X/H, t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\tilde{F}_0 = \text{Id}_{X/H}$ and $\tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{\psi} \tilde{f}$.

Let us check that the induced G/H -homotopy (\tilde{F}_t) is limited by the cover β . Indeed, let $p(x) \in X/H$ be arbitrary, where $x \in X$. Since (F_t) is limited by α , there exists an element $W \in \alpha$ such that $F_t(x) \in W$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By definition of α , there exists $V \in \beta$ such that $W = p^{-1}(V)$. Then $\tilde{F}_t(p(x)) = p(F_t(x)) \in p(W) \subset V$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, as required.

Thus, X/H is G/H -equivariantly β -dominated by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})/H$. Since by Lemma 6.2, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})/H$ is a G/H -ANE, it follows from the above mentioned equivariant Hanner domination theorem that X/H is a G/H -ANR.

If $X \in G$ -AR, then $X \in G$ -ANR and X is G -contractible. This implies easily that X/H is G/H -contractible. Since by the preceding case X/H is a G/H -ANR, we conclude that X/H is a G/H -AR. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] S.A. Antonyan, S. Mardešić, Equivariant shape, *Fund. Math.* 127 (1987) 213–224.
- [2] S.A. Antonyan, Retraction properties of the orbit space, *Mat. Sb.* 137 (1988) 300–318 (in Russian); English translation: *Math. USSR Sb.* 65 (1990) 305–321.
- [3] S.A. Antonyan, Orbit spaces and unions of equivariant absolute neighborhood extensors, *Topology Appl.* 146–147 (2005) 289–315.