

# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

---

|                      |                                   |   |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Chapter Title        | Primordial Soup                   |   |
| Copyright Year       | 2011                              |   |
| Copyright Holder     | Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg |   |
| Corresponding Author | Family Name                       | <b>Lazcano</b>  |
|                      | Particle                          |   |
|                      | Given Name                        | <b>Antonio</b>  |
|                      | Suffix                            |   |
|                      | Division                          |   |
|                      | Organization                      | Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Cd. Universitaria |
|                      | Address                           | Mexico D.F, Mexico  |
|                      | Email                             | alar@ciencias.unam.mx   |

---

---

# P

1

## 2 **Primordial Soup**

3 ANTONIO LAZCANO

4 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM),  
5 Cd. Universitaria, Mexico D.F, Mexico

### 6 **Synonyms**

7 Prebiotic soup; Primitive broth

### 8 **Keywords**

9 Abiotic organic synthesis, Darwin's warm little pond,  
10 Oparin–Haldane, origin of life, primordial heterotrophs

### 11 **Definition**

12 The primordial soup is a generic term that describes the  
13 aqueous solution of organic compounds that accumulated  
14 in primitive water bodies of the early Earth as a result of  
15 endogenous abiotic syntheses and the extraterrestrial  
16 delivery by cometary and meteoritic collisions, and from  
17 which it is assumed that the first living systems evolved.

### 18 **Overview**

19 The term “primordial soup” and its synonyms are linked  
20 to the proposal of the heterotrophic theory of the origin of  
21 life, which was suggested independently in the 1920s by  
22 Alexandr I. Oparin, John B. S. Haldane, and few others.  
23 Based on the simplicity and ubiquity of fermentative reac-  
24 tions, Oparin and Haldane proposed that the first organ-  
25 isms must have been heterotrophic bacteria that could not  
26 make their own food but obtained organic material pre-  
27 sent in the primitive milieu. In order to support his pro-  
28 posal, Oparin appealed not only to astronomical  
29 observations that had shown that hydrocarbons and  
30 other organic material were present in meteorites and  
31 cometary nuclei, but also to the nineteenth century exper-  
32 imental syntheses of organic molecules by Wohler,  
33 Butlerow, and Mendeleyev, among others (Lazcano  
34 2010a).

35 Similar ideas were being developed independently at  
36 the same time by other researchers. Like Oparin, the  
37 British biochemist and geneticist John B. S. Haldane

argued in 1929 that the origin of life had been preceded 38  
by the synthesis of organic compounds. Based on experi- 39  
ments by E. C. C. Baly, an English chemist who had 40  
reported the formation of amino acids and sugars as 41  
a result of the UV irradiation of a solution of CO<sub>2</sub> in 42  
water, Haldane suggested that the absence of oxygen in 43  
a CO<sub>2</sub>-rich primitive atmosphere led to the synthesis of 44  
organic compounds and their accumulation in the prim- 45  
itive waters of the Earth, which he wrote had “the consis- 46  
tency of hot dilute soup.” The discovery of phages led 47  
Haldane to argue that viruses represented an intermediate 48  
step in the transition from the prebiotic broth to the first 49  
heterotrophic cells (Farley 1977; Lazcano 2010a). 50

### 51 **A Darwinian Warm Little Pond**

52 In 1871, Charles Darwin mailed a letter to his close friend 52  
Joseph Dalton Hooker in which he mentioned Pasteur's 53  
work on the absence of spontaneous generation, and 54  
added that “It is often said that all the conditions for the 55  
first production of a living organism are now present, 56  
which could ever have been present. But if (and oh what 57  
a big if) we could conceive in some warm little pond with 58  
all sorts of ammonia and phosphoric salts,—light, heat, 59  
electricity &c. present, that a protein compound was 60  
chemically formed, ready to undergo still more complex 61  
changes, at the present day such matter would be instantly 62  
devoured, or absorbed, which would not have been the 63  
case before living creatures were formed.” 64

65 However, the “hot dilute soup” concept formulated by 65  
Haldane developed independently of Darwin's warm little 66  
pond. During the first part of the twentieth century, most 67  
authors assumed, at least implicitly, that the origin of life 68  
had taken place in an aqueous environment. When Wins- 69  
low Herschel discussed the consistency of colloids, which 70  
by then were assumed to explain many of the properties of 71  
protoplasm (Podolsky 1996), he wrote that “How many 72  
factors determine consistency is a matter of controversy, 73  
but the colloquial meaning of the word is well understood 74  
and may be illustrated by the description, from a recent 75  
novel, of ‘Flanders mud after a thaw’. As the flow 76  
increased, the side of the trenches began to fall in; the 77  
earth thus mixed with the water thickened it to 78

79 a consistency which might be liked to a very rich soup”  
80 (Herschel 1926).

81 There is nothing that suggests that Herschel, Haldane,  
82 or Oparin had read Darwin’s remarks about the origin of  
83 life and the warm little pond. Darwin’s letter was included  
84 by his son Francis as a footnote in the 3rd volume of his  
85 father’s book *Life and Letters* published in 1887, but it was  
86 not until 1969 that Melvin Calvin published it in his book  
87 on chemical evolution (Calvin 1969), calling it to the  
88 attention of the origins-of-life community (Peretó et al.  
89 2009). By then, the concept of a prebiotic broth and  
90 a heterotrophic origin of life, which had been developed  
91 by Oparin and Haldane within the framework of an evolu-  
92 tionary perspective, had gained considerable support  
93 from the development of a multidisciplinary research  
94 program on the emergence of the first living systems.

### 95 Defining the Soup

96 The proposal that life was the outcome of prebiotic chem-  
97 istry and the evolution of precellular systems was further  
98 elaborated and refined by Oparin in a more extensive book  
99 that was published in Russian in 1936 and translated 2  
100 years later into English (Oparin 1938). In his new book,  
101 Oparin suggested that the ► primitive Earth was a highly  
102 reducing milieu in which iron carbides of geological origin  
103 would react with steam to form hydrocarbons. Their oxida-  
104 tion would yield alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, etc., that  
105 would then react with ammonia to form amines, amides,  
106 and ammonium salts. The resulting protein-like com-  
107 pounds and other molecules would form a hot dilute  
108 soup, in which they would aggregate to form colloidal  
109 systems such as coacervates, from which the first hetero-  
110 trophic microbes evolved. Others, like John D. Bernal  
111 argued that the compounds were concentrated on the  
112 surfaces of minerals like clays, where the higher density  
113 would favor their chemical interaction (Bernal 1944).

114 Experimental evidence in support of Oparin’s propo-  
115 sal came first from Harold C. Urey’s laboratory, at the  
116 University of Chicago, who had considered the origin of  
117 life in the context of his proposal of a highly reducing  
118 terrestrial atmosphere (Urey 1952). The first successful  
119 prebiotic amino acid synthesis was carried out with an  
120 electric discharge and a strongly reducing model atmo-  
121 sphere of CH<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and H<sub>2</sub> (Miller 1953). The  
122 result of this experiment was a significant yield of  
123 a racemic mixture of amino acids, together with hydroxy  
124 acids, short aliphatic acids, and urea. One of the surprising  
125 results of this experiment was that the products were not  
126 a random mixture of organic compounds; rather,  
127 a relatively small number of compounds, most of which  
128 were of biochemical significance, were produced in

substantial yield. The ► Miller–Urey experiment marked 129  
not only a new epoch in the study of the origin of life but 130  
also led to surprisingly rapid acceptance by the public of 131  
both the heterotrophic theory and the idea of a primitive 132  
soup (Bada and Lazcano 2003). 133

### Was There a Primitive Soup? 134

135 Although it is generally agreed that free oxygen was absent  
136 from the primitive Earth, there is no agreement on the  
137 composition of the primitive atmosphere; opinions vary  
138 from strongly reducing (CH<sub>4</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O, or CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>  
139 + N<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O) to neutral (CO<sub>2</sub> + N<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O). In general,  
140 nonreducing atmospheric models were favored by plane-  
141 tary scientists, while prebiotic chemists leant toward more  
142 reducing conditions, under which the abiotic syntheses of  
143 amino acids, purines, pyrimidines, and other compounds  
144 are very efficient. Prior to the recognition that organic  
145 compounds can be synthesized under the neutral condi-  
146 tions of a CO<sub>2</sub>-rich atmosphere (Cleaves et al. 2008), the  
147 difficulties involved with the endogenous synthesis of  
148 amino acids and nucleobases have led to the development  
149 of alternatives.

150 In the early 1990s, Chyba and Sagan reanalyzed Oró’s  
151 1961 proposal on the role of cometary nuclei as sources of  
152 volatiles to the primitive Earth, and based on the chemical  
153 composition of carbonaceous meteorites proposed that  
154 the exogenous delivery of organic matter by asteroids,  
155 comets, and interplanetary dust particles could have  
156 played a significant role in forming the primitive soup,  
157 by seeding the early Earth with the compounds necessary  
158 for the origin of life (Chyba and Sagan 1992). On the other  
159 hand, proponents of an autotrophic theory of the origin of  
160 life (Wächtershäuser 1988) have dismissed the role of  
161 ► prebiotic synthesis and accumulation of organic com-  
162 pounds. However, since the FeS/H<sub>2</sub>S combination is  
163 a strong reducing agent that has been shown to reduce  
164 nitrate and acetylene, induce the formation of peptide  
165 bonds between amino acids activated with carbon mon-  
166 oxide and (Ni, Fe)S (Maden 1995; Huber and  
167 Wächtershäuser 1998), and catalyze the synthesis of acetic  
168 acid and pyruvic acid from CO under simulated hydro-  
169 thermal conditions (Huber and Wächtershäuser 1997;  
170 Cody et al. 2000), the role of Fe/S minerals is also com-  
171 patible with a more general, modified model of the prim-  
172 itive soup in which pyrite formation is recognized as an  
173 important source of electrons for the reduction of organic  
174 compounds (Bada and Lazcano 2002).

175 There has been no shortage of discussion about how  
176 the formation of the primitive soup took place. However,  
177 it is likely that no single mechanism can account for the  
178 wide range of organic compounds that may have 178

179 accumulated on the primitive Earth, and that the prebiotic  
180 soup was formed by contributions from endogenous syn-  
181 theses in a reducing atmosphere, metal sulfide-mediated  
182 synthesis in deep-sea vents, and exogenous sources such as  
183 comets, meteorites, and interplanetary dust. This eclectic  
184 view does not beg the issue of the relative significance of  
185 the different sources of organic compounds, but it simply  
186 recognizes the wide variety of potential sources of organic  
187 compounds, the raw material required for the emergence  
188 of life (Bada and Lazcano 2009; Lazcano 2010b).

### 189 **The Prebiotic Broth: A Risky Metaphor?**

190 Synonymous terms like “primitive soup,” “primordial  
191 broth,” or “Darwin’s warm little pond” have led in some  
192 cases to major misunderstandings, including the simplis-  
193 tic image of a worldwide ocean, rich in self-replicating  
194 molecules and accompanied by all sorts of biochemical  
195 monomers. However, nowadays, it refers to parts of the  
196 prebiotic environment where the accumulation and inter-  
197 action of the products of abiotic synthesis may have taken  
198 place, including oceanic sediments, intertidal zones, shal-  
199 low ponds, membrane-bound systems, freshwater lakes,  
200 and lagoons undergoing wet-and-dry cycles. The soup  
201 may have been semi-frozen, and glacial ponds where  
202 evaporation, eutectic separations, or other physicochem-  
203 ical mechanisms, such as the adherence of biochemical  
204 monomers to active surfaces, could have raised local con-  
205 centrations and promoted polymerization (Bada and  
206 Lazcano 2009).

207 Given adequate expertise and experimental condi-  
208 tions, it is possible to synthesize almost any organic mol-  
209 ecule. However, the fact that a number of molecular  
210 components of contemporary cells can be formed  
211 nonenzymatically in the laboratory does not necessarily  
212 mean that they were also essential for the origin of life, or  
213 that they were available in the prebiotic environment. The  
214 primitive soup must have been a bewildering organic  
215 chemical wonderland, but it could not include all the  
216 compounds or molecular structures found today in even  
217 the seemingly most primitive prokaryotes. It is possible  
218 that some compounds, including perhaps RNA itself, may  
219 not have been synthesized prebiotically, so their occur-  
220 rence in living systems may have been the result of early  
221 metabolic syntheses.

222 The existence of different abiotic mechanisms by  
223 which biochemical monomers can be synthesized under  
224 plausible prebiotic conditions is well established. During  
225 the past few years, laboratory simulations of prebiotic  
226 synthesis have been developing models of specific detailed  
227 environments, including those that may have been pro-  
228 vided by the surface of clays, small volcanic ponds, and

liposomes. Our ideas on the prebiotic synthesis of organic  
229 compounds are based largely on experiments in model  
230 system, and the evidence suggests that the remarkable  
231 coincidence between the molecular constituents of living  
232 organisms and those synthesized in prebiotic experiments  
233 is too striking to be fortuitous. The robustness of this type  
234 of chemistry is supported by the occurrence of many of  
235 these biochemical compounds in the 4.5 billion-year-old  
236 Murchison carbonaceous chondrite and other carbon-  
237 rich meteorites, suggesting that similar synthesis took  
238 place on the primitive Earth (Miller and Lazcano 2002). 239

### 240 **Conclusions**

241 How the first life evolved is not known, but analysis of  
242 carbonaceous chondrites and the laboratory simulations  
243 of the primitive Earth suggest that prior to the emergence  
244 of the first living systems the prebiotic environment was  
245 endowed with (1) a large suite of organic compounds of  
246 biochemical significance; (2) many organic and inorganic  
247 catalysts (such as cyanamide, metallic ions, sulfur-rich  
248 minerals and clays); (3) purines and pyrimidines, that is,  
249 the potential for template-dependent polymerization  
250 reactions; (4) membrane-forming compounds; and  
251 (5) the availability of many possible sources of carbon  
252 and nitrogen for primordial heterotrophs. Once life  
253 appeared and biosynthetic pathways developed, the reser-  
254 voir of organic material present on the Earth then shifted  
255 from one initially characterized by compounds of abiotic  
256 origin to one made up entirely of biologically derived  
257 components (Lazcano 2010b).

258 The existence of different abiotic mechanisms by  
259 which biochemical monomers can be synthesized under  
260 plausible prebiotic conditions is well established. Of  
261 course, not all prebiotic pathways are equally efficient,  
262 but the wide range of experimental conditions under  
263 which organic compounds can be synthesized demon-  
264 strates that prebiotic syntheses of the building blocks of  
265 life are robust, that is, the abiotic reactions leading to them  
266 do not take place under a narrow range defined by highly  
267 selective reaction conditions, but rather under a wide vari-  
268 ety of experimental settings. Like other scientific meta-  
269 phors, the term “primitive soup” is risky but useful, and  
270 has become a part of popular lore. For all the uncertainties  
271 surrounding the emergence of life, it appears that the  
272 formation of the prebiotic soup is one of the most firmly  
273 established events that took place in the primitive Earth.

### 274 **See also**

- 275 ▶ Heterotrophic Hypothesis
- 276 ▶ Miller–Urey experiment

- 277 ▶ Prebiotic Synthesis
- 278 ▶ Primitive Earth
- 279 ▶ RNA World

## 280 References and Further Reading

- 281 Bada J, Lazcano A (2002) Some like it hot, but not biomolecules. *Science* 296:1982–1983
- 282
- 283 Bada JL, Lazcano A (2003) Prebiotic soup: revisiting the Miller experi-  
284 ment. *Science* 300:745–746
- 285 Bada JL, Lazcano A (2009) The origin of life. In: Ruse M, Travis J (eds) *The*  
286 *harvard companion of evolution*. Belknap/Harvard University Press,  
287 Cambridge, pp 49–79
- 288 Bernal JD (1944) *The physical basis of life*. Routledge and Kegan Paul,  
289 London
- 290 Calvin M (1969) *Chemical evolution: molecular evolution towards*  
291 *the origin of living systems on the earth and elsewhere*. Oxford  
292 University Press, New York
- 293 Chyba CF, Sagan C (1992) Endogenous production, exogenous delivery,  
294 and impact-shock synthesis of organic compounds, an inventory for  
295 the origin of life. *Nature* 355:125–132
- 296 Cleaves JH, Chalmers JH, Lazcano A, Miller SL, Bada JL (2008) Prebiotic  
297 organic synthesis in neutral planetary atmospheres. *Orig Life*  
298 *Evol Biosph* 38:105–155
- 299 Cody GD, Boctor NZ, Filley TR, Hazen RM, Scott JH, Sharma A,  
300 Yoder HS Jr (2000) Primordial carbonylated iron-sulfur compounds  
301 and the synthesis of pyruvate. *Science* 289:1337–1340
- 302 Darwin F (ed) (1887) *The life and letters of Charles Darwin, including an*  
303 *autobiographical chapter, vol 3*. Murray, London
- 304 Farley J (1977) *The spontaneous generation controversy from descartes to*  
305 *oparin*. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore/London
- 306 Haldane JBS (1929) The origin of life. *Rationalist Annu* 148:3–10
- 307 Herschel W (1926) Consistency. In: Alexander J (ed) *Colloid chemistry,*  
308 *vol 1, Theoretical and Applied*. Chemical Catalog, New York,  
309 pp 727–738
- Huber C, Wächtershäuser G (1997) Activated acetic acid by carbon 310  
fixation on, Fe, Ni, S under primordial conditions. *Science* 311  
276:245–247 312
- Huber C, Wächtershäuser G (1998) Peptides by activation of amino acids 313  
with CO on Ni, Fe, S surfaces and implications for the origin of life. 314  
*Science* 281:670–672 315
- Lazcano A (2010a) Historical development of origins of life. In: Deamer 316  
DW, Szostak J (eds) *Cold spring harbor perspectives in biology: the*  
origins of life. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, 318  
pp 1–16 319
- Lazcano A (2010b) The origin and early evolution of life: did it all start in 320  
Darwin's warm little pond? In: Bell MA, Futuyma DJ, Eanes WF,  
Levinton JS (eds) *Evolution since Darwin: the first 150 years*. Sinauer,  
Sunderland, pp 353–375 323
- Maden BEH (1995) No soup for starters? Autotrophy and origins of 324  
metabolism. *Trends Biochem Sci* 20:337–341 325
- Miller SL (1953) A production of amino acids under possible primitive 326  
Earth conditions. *Science* 117:528 327
- Miller SL, Lazcano A (2002) Formation of the building blocks of life. In: 328  
Schopf JW (ed) *Life's origin: the beginnings of biological evolution*.  
California University Press, Berkeley, pp 78–112 330
- Oparin AI (1924) *Proiskhozhenie Zhizni*. Mosckovskii Rabochii, 331  
Moscow. Reprinted and translated in Bernal JD (1967) *The origin*  
of life. Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London 333
- Oparin AI (1938) *The origin of life*. McMillan, New York 334
- Peretó J, Bada JL, Lazcano A (2009) Charles Darwin and the origins of life. 335  
*Orig Life Evol Biosph* 39:395–406 336
- Podolsky S (1996) The role of the virus in origin-of-life theorizing. *J Hist* 337  
*Biol* 29:79–126 338
- Urey HC (1952) On the early chemical history of the earth and the origin 339  
of life. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 38:351–363 340
- Wächtershäuser G (1988) Before enzymes and templates, theory of surface 341  
metabolism. *Microbiol Rev* 52:452–484 342

### Author Query Form

**Encyclopedia of Astrobiology**  
**Chapter No: 1275**

| Query Refs. | Details Required  | Author's response |
|-------------|---|-------------------|
| AU1         | The entry titles "Miller-Urey experiment, Prebiotic Synthesis, Primitive Earth" are not in the entry list. Please check if appropriate. |                   |